ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

2

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

In the Matter of the Revocation of the Collection Agency License of:

A & S COLLECTION ASSOCIATES, INC.

2847 VT Rt 14

Williamston, Vermont 05679

Phoenix, AZ 85016

Respondent.

No. 09F-BD005-BNK

SUPERINTENDENT'S FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

The Superintendent of Financial Institutions (the "Superintendent") having reviewed the record in this matter, including the transcripts of the September 11, 2008 administrative hearing, the Respondent's October 21, 2008 and October 27, 2008 response to the Superintendent, the Department's October 27, 2008 response and the Administrative Law Judge Decision attached and incorporated herein by this reference, adopts the Administrative Law Judge's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order as follows:

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's Collection Agency License Number CA0904669 is revoked effective as of the date of this Order.

NOTICE

The parties are advised that this Order becomes effective immediately and the provisions of this Order shall remain effective and enforceable except to the extent that, and until such time as, any provision of this Order shall have been modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the Superintendent or a court of competent jurisdiction.

DATED this 31st day of October, 2008.

Falecia Rotellini

Superintendent of Financial Institutions

1	ORIGINAL filed this 3008 in the office of
2	OCTORS 2008 in the office of:
3	Felecia Rotellini
4	Superintendent of Financial Institutions Arizona Department of Financial Institutions
5	ATTN: June Beckwith 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 310
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85018
7	COPY of the foregoing mailed/hand delivered
8	This same date to:
9	Lewis D. Kowal, Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings
10	1400 West Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, AZ 85007
11	Erin O. Gallagher, Assistant Attorney General
12	Office of the Attorney General 1275 West Washington
13	Phoenix, AZ 85007
14	Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent Richard Fergus, Division Manager
15	Arizona Department of Financial Institutions 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310
16	Phoenix, AZ 85018
17	A&S Collection Associates, Inc. Attn: Nicole Saldi, President
18	2847 VT rt 14
19	Williamstown, VT 05679
20	A & S Collection Associates, Inc. Attn: Nicole Saldi, President
21	P.O. Box 395 Williamstown, VT 05679
22	Michael Bernstein, Statutory Agent For:
23	A & S Collection Associates, Inc. 2929 N. 44 th Street #120
24	Phoenix, AZ 85018
25	
26	
- 1	1

AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to:

Robert L. Baumann
Baumann, Doyle, Paytas, Bernstein
2929 N. 44th Street, Suite 120
Phoenix, AZ 85018

BY:

IN THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

In The Matter Of the Revocation of the Collection Agency License of:

A & S Collection Associates, Inc 2847 VT Rt 14 Williamstown, Vermont 05679

Respondent.

No. 09F-BD005-BNK

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

HEARING: September 11, 2008

<u>APPEARANCES</u>: Assistant Attorney General Erin Gallagher on behalf of the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions; Robert Baumann, Esq. on behalf of A & S Collection Associates, Inc.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lewis D. Kowal

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On April 16, 2008, Jack Watson ("Mr. Watson"), Senior Examiner with the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ("Department"), received an e-mail containing information that A & S Collection Associates, Inc. ("Respondent") was changing ownership from Ronald Saldi to Nicole Saldi.
- 2. Mr. Watson brought the e-mail to the attention of his supervisor, Richard Fergus ("Mr. Fergus"), Division Manager of Licensing and Consumer Affairs with the Department. Mr. Fergus requested that a licensing technician follow up the e-mail by contacting Respondent and inquiring about a change of control of Respondent.
- 3. On April 21, 2008, the Department sent a letter to Respondent requesting information regarding the alleged change of ownership of Respondent and requested copies of the Transfer Agreement, Stock Transfers and Minutes that evidenced the change of control.

- 4. On April 28, 2008, the Department received a response from Respondent to the above-mentioned letter and received certain documents. Particularly, the Department received a copy of the Special Meeting of Shareholders and Directors of Respondent held on June 19, 2007.
- 5. The Minutes of the Special Meeting state that the Respondent's Board of Directors consented to the transfer of shares of stock held by Ronald Saldi, Jr. to Nicole Saldi. The minutes were signed by Jean Adams ("Ms. Adams") as Secretary, Ronald Saldi, Jr. as Sole Shareholder and Director, and Nicole Saldi as Director. The Department also received a copy of the Stock Purchase Agreement dated June 19, 2007 that was executed by Ronald Saldi, Jr. and Nicole Saldi.
- 6. By virtue of the Stock Agreement, Ronal Saldi, Jr. sold 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Respondent to Nicole Saldi. The Department also received a copy of the stock certificate evidencing the shares of stock that had been owned by Ronald Saldi, Jr. dated January 1, 2005, and a stock certificate evidencing the shares of stock owned by Nicole Saldi dated June 19, 2007.
- 7. It is undisputed that Respondent did not obtain written prior approval from the Superintendent of the Department ("Superintendent") for a change of control. Mr. Fergus testified that the Superintendent delegated authority to grant such approval to Mr. Fergus.
- 8. It is undisputed that Respondent did not notify the Department of its change of officers within ten days within ten days of making that change.
- 9. On Respondent's most recent license renewal application of collection agency license of Respondent ("Application") that was submitted to the Department on December 18, 2007, the response to Question 4 listed the current ownership of the Respondent as being Ronald Saldi, Jr. On the Application, Respondent also listed Ronald Saldi, Jr. as its president and 100% owner. In response to Question 6 on the Application, Respondent listed Ronald Saldi as president, and Ms. Adams as vice-president. The Application was signed by Ronald Saldi, Jr. on December 10, 2007.
- 10. Ronald Saldi, Jr., in signing the Application, attested to the accuracy of the information contained in the Application and that such information was truthful.

- 11. The Department contends that the information contained in the Application is not truthful and constitutes a material misrepresentation, and that Respondent, through Ronald Saldi, Jr. was not truthful when completing the Application.
- 12. In contrast, Respondent asserted that the information contained in the Application was erroneous and that Respondent made a mistake when it submitted the Application to the Department with inaccurate information. Respondent contended that it learned of the mistake regarding the Application and sought to correct it before the Department learned that there was an issue at to the change of control of Respondent.
- 13. The main piece of evidence that is at dispute is whether the Department received a letter authored by Ms. Adams notifying the Department of a change of control and attempting to correct the mistake that had occurred with the submission of inaccurate information in the application. See Exhibit A.
- 14. The Department presented evidence that it never received the letter. Respondent presented evidence that the letter was mailed. There are problems and issues regarding the positions asserted by the parties with respect to the letter. While the Department does not have any record of receipt of the letter, it acknowledged that there have been problems with its record keeping of mail in the past. Mr. Fergus implemented a daily log system for accountability and accuracy. However, such action took effect after the date when the letter was purportedly mailed.
- 15. Ms. Adams testified that she mailed the above-mentioned letter to the Department, which is dated March 25, 2008, about the same time that she wrote to departments in other jurisdictions regarding the change of control of Respondent. However, with the exception of the letter to the Department, the other letters contain Respondent's letterhead showing the name and address of Respondent. Further, some of the letters also contain information as to the license number of Respondent. Such information is conspicuously missing from a copy of the letter and Respondent did not provide any information to explain that situation.
- 16. The letter sent by the Department dated April 21, 2008, requested documentation from Respondent regarding the change of control and referenced a letter the Department received rather than an e-mail. The April 21, 2008 letter also referenced a change of ownership in Respondent's stock, which was not mentioned in

 the e-mail received by the Department. Consequently, there is conflicting evidence presented by both parties regarding whether the letter was or was not sent or received. In the letter that Respondent asserted it sent to the Department, Respondent indicates that it was told to fill out a new application. However, Respondent did not submit another application to the Department and Ms. Adams failed to provide a plausible explanation for not performing such act.

- 17. Weighing all of the evidence, even though there are issues with respect to the evidence presented by both parties, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the more persuasive evidence is that Respondent did not notify the Department of the situation regarding the change of control of Respondent prior to the Department's receipt of the above-mentioned e-mail and the initiation of the investigation of this matter.
- 18. Ms. Adams testified that she has been working for Respondent since 1993. In 2002, Ronald Saldi, Sr., who ran and owned Respondent, stepped down and Ronald Saldi, Jr. owned and operated Respondent. She testified that Ronald Saldi, Jr. is a farmer and wanted to step down from running Respondent.
- 19. Ms. Adams testified that she previously handled license applications and renewal applications (licensing duties) for Respondent. However, because her husband became ill, after 2004, she relied on her assistant, Misty Thurber ("Ms. Thurber"), who she believed was competent to handle licensing duties. After her husband passed away in 2005, Ms. Adams did not resume handling the licensing duties and Ms. Thurber continued to handle them.
- 20. According to Ms. Adams, Ms. Thurber was young and inexperienced and Ms. Adams made a mistake in believing that Ms. Thurber could handle the licensing duties.
- 21. Ms. Thurber is no longer employed by Respondent and Ms. Adams has resumed handling the licensing duties.
- 22. On December 31, 2007, Ms. Thurber notified the Department in writing that David B. Seres is Respondent's branch manager and that Jean Adams "is vice president of financial and not the vice president of the company." Exhibit 2.
- 23. At hearing, Ms. Adams testified that she is the vice-president of Respondent and secretary of the corporation.

- 24. Although Ms. Adams testified as to her belief that Ronald Saldi, Jr. just signed the Application assuming it was correct, there was no corroborating evidence to support that testimony.
- 25. Although Ronald Saldi, Jr. was listed as a witness for Respondent and was available to testify telephonically, he did not testify at the hearing. Consequently, the Administrative Law Judge did not hear from Ronald Saldi, Jr. as to the circumstances surrounding his signing of the Application and why he did not notice that the Application listed himself as the owner of Respondent when he had to know that he had transferred the shares of stock of Respondent to his daughter, Nicole Saldi.
- 26. Mr. Fergus testified that, in the past, the Department has approved a change of ownership after the fact and imposed a civil penalty upon a licensee for failing to obtain prior approval of the Superintendent. In those instances, Mr. Fergus explained that the change of ownership became known through a renewal application wherein the licensee disclosed a change of ownership without the licensee having first obtained prior approval from the Superintendent of the change of ownership.
- 27. Mr. Fergus testified that the instant situation is different in that the Application contained erroneous information regarding the ownership of Respondent and, to date, the Department has not received a personal history statement or fingerprint card for Nicole Saldi.
- 28. The Department's letter of April 21, 2008 informed Respondent that "If there is a change in the top (5) persons of the company we [the Department] will need a personal history form and fingerprint card for each of them."
- 29. Ms. Adams testified that she focused on the itemized section of the Department's April 21, 2008 letter specifying the transaction documents that the Department required and that she sent those to the Department. However, Respondent failed to present credible reason why it did not provide the Department with a personal history form and fingerprint card for Nicole Saldi.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. This hearing is a disciplinary proceeding and the burden of proof is on the Department to establish by a preponderance of the evidence violations of the State's laws regulating collection agencies. See A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(G)(2) and A.A.C. R2-19-119.

- 2. A preponderance of the evidence is "evidence of greater weight or more convincing than the evidence which is offered in opposition to it; that is, evidence which as a whole shows that the fact sought to be proved is more probable than not." Black's Law Dictionary, 1182 (6th ed. 1990).
- 3. The weight of the evidence of record established that Respondent violated A.R.S. § 32-1026(B) by conducting a change of control without receiving prior approval by the Superintendent of the Department.
- 4. The weight of the evidence of record established that Respondent violated A.A.C. R20-4-1502(A) by conducting a change of control in its officers and directors and failing to notify the Department within ten days of such change.
- 5. Respondent made a material misstatement on the renewal application by incorrectly stating its ownership, in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1025(A). By knowingly completing and submitting to the Department a renewal application that incorrectly stated the ownership of the company establishes that Ronald Saldi, Jr. is not a person of honesty, truthfulness or good character within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1053(A)(2).
- 6. While the weight of the evidence does not support a finding that Nicole Saldi is a person who is not honest, truthful or of good character, it raises a potential question as to her honesty, truthfulness and good character because she was the owner of Respondent at the time when the Application was submitted to the Department. Nicole Saldi did not testify at the hearing regarding the circumstances surrounding the execution and filing of the Application.
- 7. Based upon the above found violations, grounds exist to suspend or revoke Respondent's collection agency license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053(A)(2),(3), and (6).
- 8. The Superintendent has the authority to impose a civil penalty not to exceed \$5,000.00 for each violation pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that on the effective date of the Order entered in this matter Respondent's license shall be revoked.

Done this day, September 29, 2008.

Lewis D. Kowal

Administrative Law Judge

Original transmitted by mail this 30 day of **septemble** 2008, to:

Arizona Department of Financial Institutions Felecia Rotellini, Superintendent ATTN: Susan L. Ross 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 310 Phoenix, AZ 85018

By Chris Fishled